

What worked well at this meeting?

Structure. Direct Answers to questions
Reliance on CMAA land use plan vision

What should we change for next time?

Nothing

Other comments:

What worked well at this meeting?

Using questions/response from
SURVEY was a good basis
for discussion.

What should we change for next time?

More concise statements

Other comments:
Thank you for your efforts!
We look forward to input from your experience!

What worked well at this meeting?

- ① allowing questions
- ② moderated discussion
- ③ seating good

What should we change for next time?

- ① not much
- ~~②~~ ② too much time on trees

Other comments:

Useful meeting. Good starting point

What worked well at this meeting?

Excellent facilitation with a great deal of our cynical, adversarial "character" on display.

What should we change for next time?

Try to increase participation from more people — the same folks like to monopolize!

Other comments:

Great opportunity to better understand your process.

What worked well at this meeting?

DISCUSSION WITH PUBLIC

What should we change for next time?

Other comments:

What worked well at this meeting?

open discussion

What should we change for next time?

start earlier (on time) - lost $\frac{1}{2}$ hour
- too much time to select priorities (dots
on charts)

Other comments:

What worked well at this meeting?

Format was good.

What should we change for next time?

Seating - Cannot see any screens.
Stay on Subject.

Other comments:

I don't think talking about
trees accomplishes restructuring
from codes. Trees were not mature.
Look at old photos. We were pure sand only.

What worked well at this meeting?

Give and take.

What should we change for next time?

More time allotted for discussion.

Other comments:

Keep us involved!

What worked well at this meeting?

VERY WELL DONE

What should we change for next time?



Other comments:

Mike Stone
252-261-2000

Own 5 Commercial Properties
Own 18 Commercial ACRES
combined

Own 1/2 - 2% of land in town

[Local business owner who would

like to give input from that
stakeholder group viewpoint]

Moderator was on verge of being hostile.

What worked well at this meeting?

Not conduct it as a politic meeting
You seemed to "pick sides" and have your own agenda that may benefit you monetarily.

What should we change for next time?

not be as flippant about our town and our rules. We live here and don't want to be trivialized by you and your company.

Other comments:

We like our character
Chad should not take on a citizen
You should keep your opinions to yourself

Camping Age was set to keep vagrants + "beach bums" staying on beaches or in the woods

Cemetery Rules were developed based on military Rites and ~~rituals~~ like Arlington Cemetery

Staff does not live in town except Police Chief
Many business owners who are not Realtors or Builders were omitted

Questions are too leading.
Sounds like you want to re-write code and write new codes

What worked well at this meeting?

- good Discussion - Pro's + Con's
- Team is very open + unbiased
-

What should we change for next time?

- More Time for discussion, 2 hrs is not enough - make it a whole day

Other comments: - Please Look at the Dare County - Sound pier length Reg. Current 75' Toss length does not get us to deeper water